REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The foregoing amendment and the following arguments are provided to impart precision to the claims, by more particularly pointing out the invention, rather than to avoid prior art.

35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejections

Examiner rejected claims 4 and 32 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejections

Examiner rejected claims 1, 4-14, 16, 18-27, 29, 50, 52 and 59-63 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,088,370 (hereinafter "Bell") in view of U.S. Patent 6,101,566 (hereinafter "Woods").

To establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art. *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974). (Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) ¶ 2143.03).

Independent claims 1, 16, 29, 30, 47, and 50 of the present application includes limitations not disclosed or taught by the Bell or Woods. As a result, the independent claims 1, 16, 29, 30, 47, and 50 are patentable over Bell in view of Woods.

Appl. No. 09/428,134

Amendment Dated: November 30, 2004

-17-

In particular, the independent claims include the limitation, or limitation

similar thereto, of a single exclusive interface to provide a point-to-point

connection between the MCH and the ICH, exclusive of an external bus

connected directly to the interface.

Bell, however, does not disclose a single exclusive interface between an

MCH and ICH. Rather, Bell discloses a controller coupled to multiple bus

expander bridges to provide *multiple* interfaces to interconnect peripheral

components to the controller.

Therefore, considering applicant's independent claims 1, 16, 29, 30, 47, and

50 of the present application include limitations not disclosed or taught by the

Bell or Woods, applicant's independent claims are patentable over Bell in view of

Woods.

In addition, applicants' remaining claims depend from at least one of the

independent claims mentioned above. As a result of depending from one of the

independent claim, the remaining claims include the distinguishing limitations

discussed above, and are therefore also patentable over Bell in view of Woods.

Appl. No. 09/428,134

Amendment Dated: November 30, 2004

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call John Ward at (408) 720-8300, x237.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any charges that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: ///31/19

John P. Ward

Reg. No. 40,216

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 (408) 720-8300

Appl. No. 09/428,134

Amendment Dated: November 30, 2004